#### MARY SROUFE.

[To accompany bill H. R. No. 98.]

March 5, 1840.

Mr. Wick, from the Committee on Private Land Claims, made the following

#### REPORT:

The Committee on Private Land Claims, to whom were referred the petition of Mary Sroufe, and accompanying documents, report as follows:

Sebastian Sroufe, in the month of December, in the year 1824, settled upon the west fraction of the southeast quarter of section 21, in township 1 north, of range 5 east, in the Lima land district in Ohio, and occupied and improved the same until the 8th day of February, 1830, when he departed this life, leaving the petitioner, his widow, with several children, his heirs. The petitioner remained in possession of said tract of land, and improved the same, until the 22d day of February, 1836. These facts fare well established, and would be abundantly sufficient to establish the right to a pre-emption, but for the intervention of Congressional legislation. pre-emption laws apply only to such lands as are not reserved from sale by law, or by direction of the President. Congress, by an act passed on the 24th day of May, 1828, reserved from sale the section on which the tract of land in question is situate, granting one-half thereof for extending a canal from Dayton to Lake Erie, and fixing the minimum price of the residue at two dollars and fifty cents per acre. The pre-emption law secures the right of pre-emption at one dollar and twenty-five cents per acre.

The committee do not deem it essential to inquire whether the claim to a pre-emption would, according to law, descend to the petitioner as the widow of Sebastian Sroufe, or to his lineal heirs. The claim is but a meritorious one, and, under the circumstances of this case, ought to enure to the peti-

tioner as the widow.

The committee report a bill, and recommend the passage thereof. Blair & Rives, printers.

## MARY SROUFE. To accompany hill H. R. No. 984

# Marcen 5, 1840.

Mr. Wick, from the Committee on Private Land Claims, made the fol-

## REPORT:

The Committee on Private Land Chims, to whom were referred the petition of Mary Stonfe, and accompanying documents, report as follows:

Sebastian Sroufe, in the month of December, in the year 1824, settled upon the west fraction of the southeast quarter of section, 21, in township a north, of reage 5 cast, in the Lima land district in Ohio, and occupied and improved the same until the 8th day of February, 1830, when he departed this lik, leaving the petitioner, his widow, with several children, his heirs. The petitioner remained in possession of said tract of land, and improved the same, until the 22d day of February, 1836. These facts fare well established, and would be abandantly sufficient to establish the right to a pre-emption, but for the intervention of Congressional legislation. The per-emption laws apply only to such lands as are not reserved from sole by pre-emption as two direction of the President. Congress, by an act passed on the law of May, 1828, reserved from sale the section on which the tract of land in question is situate, granting one-half thereof for extending a canal from Tayton to Lake Eric, and fixing the minimum price of the residue at two dollars and fifty cents per acre. The pre-emption law secures the right of pre-emption at one dollar and twenty five cepts per acre.

The committee do not deem it essential to inquire whether the claim to a pre-emption would, according to law, descend to the petitioner as the widow of Sebastian Stoute, or to his lineal heirs. The claim is but a meritorious one, and, under the circumstances of this case, ought to entire to the petitions.

Moner as the widow.

The committee report a bill, and recommend the passage thereof,

Biller & Rives, printers.